Adhesion performance of PSA–clay nano-composites by the in-situ polymerization and mechanical blending

Jin-Kyoung Oh, Cho-Hee Park, Seung-Woo Lee, Ji-Won Park, Hyun-Joong Kim *

Laboratory of Adhesion and Bio-Composites, Program in Environmental Materials Science, Seoul National University, Daehak-Dong, Cwonak-Gu, Seoul 151-921, Republic of Korea

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Accepted 11 June 2013
Available online 25 September 2013

Keywords:
Adhesive performance
Nanoclay
in-situ
Mechanical blend
Emulsion polymerization
Acrylic adhesive

A B S T R A C T

The synthesis and characterization of acrylic polymer/Na-montmorillonite (Na-MMT) clay nano-composites pressure sensitive adhesives (PSA) are researched. The PSA/clay nano-composites were synthesized by in-situ emulsion polymerization and mechanical blending. And then, different amounts of nanoclay were dispersed in 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (2-EHA)/n-butyl acrylate (BA)/methyl methacrylate (MMA)/acrylic acid (AA) monomer mixture, which was synthesized using in-situ emulsion polymerization technique. Morphological observation was carried out using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM). Viscoelastic properties of PSA/clay nano-composites were analyzed using advanced rheometric expansion system (ARES). The adhesion performances of synthesized PSA/clay nano-composites were determined by measurements of peel strength, probe tack and shear adhesion failure temperature.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Acrylic pressure-sensitive adhesives (PSAs) have been developed rapidly because of their versatility, ease of application, optically clarity, oxidative and ultraviolet (UV) resistance, migration resistance, low toxicity and low cost raw materials [1]. Acrylic PSAs, in general, are copolymerized with low Tg monomers such as n-butyl acrylate and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate for tackiness and high Tg monomers for cohesive strength. To increase the mechanical properties and thermal stability, cross-linkable monomers have been used because the general acrylic PSAs have linear structures. Monomer composition greatly influences on the adhesion performances of acrylic PSAs [2].

Emulsion polymerizations are widely used in a variety of applications because of not only limitations of heat transfer in the large scale reactors [3] and strict environmental regulations such as EPA Clean Air Act, but also high cost of solvent-borne acrylic PSAs and slow coating line speed. For this reason, solvent-borne acrylic PSAs have been replaced with water-borne acrylic PSAs and mechanical blending. However, these improved properties of composites depend on the dispersed status of nanoclay in the polymer matrix [10]. In general, two idealized polymer–clay structures are possible: intercalated and exfoliated [7,11]. In intercalated nano-composites, the polymer molecules enter to the interlayer of nanoclay. The nanoclay layers maintain their order and the increasing spacing between layers can be seen by X-ray diffraction (XRD). In exfoliated nano-composites, the individual layers of nanoclay were dispersed into the polymer matrix. The individual layers in polymer matrix resulting from extensive polymer penetration and delamination of the silicate crystallites. The greatest improved properties are observed in exfoliated nano-composites [12–14].

To exploit the new class of high performance PSAs based on acrylic emulsion PSAs, various fillers have been added to PSAs to give enhanced properties [4–6]. Among them, addition of a small amount of nanoclay into a polymer matrix exhibit increased modulus, decreased thermal expansion coefficients, reduced gas permeability and increased solvent resistance when compared to the polymers alone [7–9]. The enhanced properties of polymer–clay nano-composites would also be desirable in the PSAs field. However, these improved properties of composites depend on the dispersed status of nanoclay in the polymer matrix [10].

Polymer-clay nano-composites can be manufactured using various processes; solution intercalation, melt intercalation [15], in-situ polymerization and template synthesis [16]. Ray and Okamoto published the synthesis of PLA-layered silicate nano-composites. They found that addition of layered silicate nanoclay to PLA matrix improves mechanical properties, heat distortion temperature, gas permeability compared to pure PSA [17]. Meneghetti and Qutubuddin also published a paper dealing with polymethylmethacrylate–montmorillonite
(PMMA–MMT) nano-composites by emulsion polymerization. They showed that the particle morphology and properties were affected by the nature of intercalation between the clay surfaces [18]. Wang et al. studied waterborne nano-composite PSA reinforced with carbon nanotubes to improve electrical conductivity and to increase the energy of adhesion with maintains optical transparency [5]. There are several studies that the emulsion polymerization has been based on high glass transition temperature (Tg) polymers such as PMMA, PS and PAN [6,19–21]. They are not associated to PSAs applications.

In this paper, we prepare the PSA–clay nano-composites by in-situ emulsion polymerization and mechanical blend. First, a series of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (2-EHA)/n-butyl acrylate (BA) copolymers functionalized with methylmethacrylate (MMA) and acrylic acid (AA) were synthesized by semicontinuous emulsion polymerization. The influence of the 2-EHA/BA ratio on the adhesion performances of acrylic emulsion PSAs was studied. Next, polymer–clay nano-composites were prepared by in-situ emulsion polymerization and by the blending with Na-MMT clay. The morphological properties, viscoelastic properties and adhesion performances were compared to PSA–clay nano-composites produced by two different procedures.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

2-Ethylhexyl acrylate (2-EHA, Samchun Pure Chemical, Republic of Korea), n-butyl acrylate (BA, Samchun Pure Chemical, Republic of Korea), methyl methacrylate (MMA, Samchun Pure Chemical, Republic of Korea) and acrylic acid (AA, Samchun Pure Chemical, Republic of Korea) were used without any purification. The initiator, ammonium persulfate (APS), was obtained from Hebei Jiheng Group Co., Ltd., PR China. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, Junsei Chemical Co., Ltd, Japan) was used as surfactant. Its activity in water is 34.5–38.5% by weight. The buffer, sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate (Samchun Pure Chemical, Republic of Korea), was used to control pH of acrylic emulsion PSAs. Aqueous ammonia (NH4OH, 28%, Sungjin, Republic of Korea) was used as catalyst. Nanoclay (Cloisite® Na+) was purchased from Southern Clay Products, Inc. (Gonzales, TX, USA). Nanoclay is montmorillonite modified with quaternary ammonium salt. This is an additive to improve various physical properties, such as mechanical property reinforcement, heat, shear resistance, and so on. Table 1 shows basic properties of nanoclay used in this study.

2.2. Preparation of acrylic pre-emulsion PSA

Deionized (DI) water, surfactant, initiator and buffer were homogeneously mixed at about 60 °C in the four neck flask under reflux. Apart from this mixture, 2-EHA, BA, MMA and AA were charged to reactor and stirred for 1 h. NaOH was used to control pH of acrylic emulsion PSAs. Aqueous ammonia (NH4OH, 28%, Sungjin, Republic of Korea) was used as neutralization. Nanoclay (Cloisite® Na+) was purchased from Southern Clay Products, Inc. (Gonzales, TX, USA). Nanoclay is montmorillonite modified with quaternary ammonium salt. This is an additive to improve various physical properties, such as mechanical property reinforcement, heat, shear resistance, and so on. Table 1 shows basic properties of nanoclay used in this study.

2.3. Synthesis of acrylic emulsion PSAs reinforced with nanoclay

Among the various compositions, 2-EHA 45 was selected to synthesize acrylic emulsion PSAs reinforced with nanoclay using two procedures, in-situ polymerization and mechanical blending.

2.3.1. In-situ semibatch emulsion polymerization

The synthesis was carried out in a 500 ml glass reactor equipped with a jacket, reflux condenser, nitrogen gas inlet, thermometer, feeding inlet and a stainless steel anchor stirrer. Nanoclay was first dispersed in DI water in the reactor at 75 °C, after then surfactant and sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate were added under a nitrogen stream. Next, 10% of prepared pre-emulsion was inserted into the reactor. After the exotherm reaction, the left pre-emulsion and catalyst solution was fed at constant rates into the reactor for 4 h at 75 °C. Catalyst solution was dropped into the reactor at 30 min intervals during polymerization. To complete the polymerization, temperature of the reactor was heated at 80 °C for an additional 2 h. After then, the reactor was cooled down under 40 °C. NaOH was used to control pH of final acrylic emulsion PSAs reinforced with nanoclay.

2.3.2. Semibatch emulsion polymerization PSAs mechanically blended with nanoclay

Acrylic pre-emulsion PSAs (2-EHA 45) was synthesized by semibatch emulsion polymerization as mentioned above at 2.2. After then, the reactor was cooled down under 40 °C, nanoclay was charged to reactor and stirred for 1 h. NaOH was used to control pH of final acrylic emulsion PSAs reinforced with nanoclay.

2.4. Analysis of synthesis acrylic emulsion PSAs

2.4.1. Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy

To investigate the synthesized five acrylic pre-emulsion PSAs, the PSAs were analyzed by FT-IR spectroscopy. The IR spectra were recorded using JASCO FT/IR-6100 (Japan) equipped with a Miracle accessory, an attenuated total reflectance (ATR). It had a transmission range from 4000 to 650 cm⁻¹. The resolution of the spectra recorded was 8 cm⁻¹. The difference of the five acrylic emulsion PSAs was analyzed by observing the absorbance.

2.4.2. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

To investigate the synthesized five acrylic pre-emulsion PSAs, the PSAs were analyzed by FT-IR spectroscopy. The IR spectra were recorded using JASCO FT/IR-6100 (Japan) equipped with a Miracle accessory, an attenuated total reflectance (ATR). It had a transmission range from 4000 to 650 cm⁻¹. The resolution of the spectra recorded was 8 cm⁻¹. The difference of the five acrylic emulsion PSAs was analyzed by observing the absorbance.
at the ratio of 0.5% w/v solutions. GPC was used to obtain narrow molecular weight fractions and separation was accomplished on a column of a highly porous material that separates the polymer molecules according to size.

2.4.7.1. Probe tack. Tack measurements of PSA films were carried out with a TA-XT2i Texture Analyzer (Micro Stable Systems, UK) at 20 °C using a probe tack which was a polished stainless steel cylinder probe with 5 mm diameter. Probe approached with the 0.5 mm/s of crosshead speed and then contacted with the surface of PSA films with a constant pressure of 100 g/cm² for 1 s and then separated from the surface with the speed of 0.5 mm/s.

2.4.7.2. Probe tack. Tack measurements of PSA films were carried out with a TA-XT2i Texture Analyzer (Micro Stable Systems, UK) at 20 °C using a probe tack which was a polished stainless steel cylinder probe with 5 mm diameter. Probe approached with the 0.5 mm/s of crosshead speed and then contacted with the surface of PSA films with a constant pressure of 100 g/cm² for 1 s and then separated from the surface with the speed of 0.5 mm/s.

2.4.7.3. Shear adhesion failure temperature (SAFT). For the SAFT, the PSA samples were pressed onto stainless steel (bonding area 25 × 25 mm²) by two passes of a 2 kg rubber-roller. The specimen was placed in an oven, and then a 500 g and 1 kg weight was hung from the end of the sample. The temperature-dependent pull away of the PSA sample from the plate was detected in heating oven at temperatures ranging from room temperature to 200 °C at a heating rate of 0.4 °C/min.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Depending on 2-EHA weight percentages

To study the influence of the 2-EHA/BA ratio on the adhesive performance, a series of acrylic emulsion PSAs were synthesized with a batch polymerization process at a different 2-EHA/BA ratio based on the weight of the total monomer.

3.1.1. FTIR spectroscopy

To investigate the synthesized acrylic emulsion PSAs, the FTIR-ATR spectra of five series of acrylic emulsion PSAs are shown in Figs. 1–3. The broad peak at 3380 cm⁻¹ is related to the absorbing peak of hydroxyl group (OH). The absorbance band at 2957 and 2874 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the CH₃ stretch vibration of alkyl group (Fig. 2). 1730 cm⁻¹ is the –C=O stretch vibration of acrylate. Peaks at 1448 and 1387 cm⁻¹ are the –CH₂ bending mode. Peaks at 1254, 1171 and 1121 cm⁻¹ are C–O–C stretch vibrating absorbing peaks [22,23]. FT-IR illustrated that polymerization of all the acrylic emulsion PSAs had done successfully. The heights of absorbance by acrylate group and alkyl group are different because of different molecular weight of 2-EHA and BA. As the weight percentage of 2-EHA increased, the alkyl groups peak increased. For the same reason, decreasing 2-EHA weight percentage of total monomer had acrylate peak at 1730, 1254, 1171 and 1121 cm⁻¹ increased (Fig. 3).

---

![Fig. 1. FT-IR spectrum of pre-emulsion PSAs as a function of 2-EHA/BA ratio.](image-url)
3.1.2. GPC

$M_w$ of acrylic emulsion PSAs are presented in Fig. 4. $M_n$ and MWD are listed in Table 3. GPC data indicates that $M_w$ of the acrylic emulsion PSAs increased with increasing 2-EHA concentration because of chain transfer. 2-EHA brings out higher chain transfer to monomer by the propagation. Decrease of $M_w$ made polymer mobility higher in the acrylic emulsion PSAs and peel strength increased for that reason. On the other hands, acrylic emulsion PSAs which had too lower molecular weight shows lower peel strength because of entanglement reduction as shown in Fig. 6.

Table 3
The characterization of acrylic emulsion PSAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2-EHA</th>
<th>$M_n$ (K)</th>
<th>$M_w$ (K)</th>
<th>MWD	extsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-EHA 15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-EHA 30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-EHA 45</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-EHA 60</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-EHA 75</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

	extsuperscript{a} Measured by GPC.

Fig. 2. Changes in the absorbance peaks of the alkyl group (2957 and 2874 cm$^{-1}$) as a function of 2-EHA/BA ratio.

Fig. 3. Changes in the absorbance peaks of the alkylate group (1730, 1254, 1171 and 1121 cm$^{-1}$) as a function of 2-EHA/BA ratio.

3.1.2. GPC

$M_w$ of acrylic emulsion PSAs are presented in Fig. 4. $M_n$ and MWD are listed in Table 3. GPC data indicates that $M_w$ of the acrylic emulsion PSAs increased with increasing 2-EHA concentration because of chain transfer. 2-EHA brings out higher chain transfer to monomer by the propagation. Decrease of $M_w$ made polymer mobility higher in the acrylic emulsion PSAs and peel strength increased for that reason. On the other hands, acrylic emulsion PSAs which had too lower molecular weight shows lower peel strength because of entanglement reduction as shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 4. Molecular weight distributions for pre-emulsion PSAs as a function of 2-EHA/BA ratio.

Fig. 5. MDSC thermogram and $T_g$ of the pre-emulsion PSAs.

Fig. 6. Peel strength, probe tack and SAFT of the pre-emulsion PSAs as a function of 2-EHA concentrations.
3.1.3. MDSC

The characteristics of acrylic emulsion PSAs can be determined by controlling the monomer composition. The solid contents and $T_g$ of the five 2-EHA series PSAs are shown in Table 4. The solid contents of prepared acrylic emulsion PSAs were determined by weight calculation of before and after drying of samples.

Fig. 5 presents $T_g$ curves by MDSC for five acrylic emulsion PSAs. The nano-composites do not show clear glass transition temperature because of the intercalated polymer chains within the silicate spacing that prevent the segmental motions of the polymer chains [24].

As increasing the 2-EHA content, the $T_g$ of PSAs gradually decreased because $T_g$ of the 2-EHA is lower than BA.

3.1.4. Adhesion performance of acrylic pre-emulsion PSAs

In the acrylic emulsion PSAs, monomer composition greatly influences their adhesion performances such as peel strength, tack and SAFT.

The peel strength of the acrylic emulsion PSAs showed maximum peak with increase of 2-EHA contents. After that, peel strength was decreased with increasing 2-EHA contents. Probe tack values present an opposite result compared with that of peel strength was decreased with increasing 2-EHA contents. After that, peel strength and 2-EHA/BA ratio was 75/15 showed the lowest value of peel strength and 2-EHA/BA ratio was 45/45 showed the highest value of peel strength.

A maximum value of the shear strength is revealed at 30/60 of 2-EHA/BA ratio. The introduction of a greater number of 2-EHA structural units to the polymer chains made the polymer soft, with an increment of tack and a loss of peel strength. However, the inter-reaction of rigid polymer chains at the inter-face of particle-particle is more difficult, because of the decrease of the mobility of polymers. At the point which 2-EHA contents was over 45 wt%, the interfacial adhesion between the particles decreased and the film shows decreasing adhesion performances, which promotes the development of fractures and the material decohesion. This decohesion produces also a complete loss of shear strength.

Fig. 7 shows a typical stress--strain curve during the debonding process of probe tack test. The strain is obtained by dividing the crosshead displacement by the thickness of the film and the stress is obtained by dividing the force by the actual contact area. For example, the curve presents a sharp stress maximum and adhesive debonding at comparatively low strains. It has a similar shape as the stress strain plots of brittle polymeric materials in the usual tensile tests. In this study, a similar behavior was observed for 2-EHA 45. On the other hands, if the stress maximum was caused by the fibrils debonded from the probe surface by interfacial fracture, a large area under the curve and a high strain at break are observed, the PSAs have similarity with plastic deformation.

3.2. Depending on preparation method of emulsion PSAs reinforced with nanoclay

The all results of five acrylic pre-emulsion PSAs revealed that 45/45 of 2-EHA/BA ratio have good balance between adhesion and cohesion.

It is well known that lots of properties of polymer can be improved by adding much lower amount of clay. There are different processes to make PSA/clay nano-composites. In order to study the influence of the loading nanoclay on the adhesion properties, PSA/clay nano-composites were prepared by in-situ polymerization and mechanical blending.

3.2.1. Morphological observation

The morphology of polymer–clay nano-composites was analyzed by XRD and SEM. The degree of intercalation and dispersion of the clay in the PSA matrix were obtained by the two methods. XRD patterns of clay, pure PSA, PSA–clay nano-composites by in-situ polymerization and mechanical blending were measured. All the results are shown in Fig. 8. From XRD pattern, the d-spacing were calculated from Bragg formula, at peak positions. Because of crystal structure of nanoclay, the diffraction peak of Na-MMT is appeared at $2\theta = 7.3^\circ$ [25].

The interlayer space of $d=12.1$ nm is calculated from diffraction peak position.

XRD patterns for PSA–clay nano-composites by in-situ polymerization had similar tendency compared to pure PSA. It means no crystallinity, that is, nanoclay is well-dispersed in PSA matrix.

The other side, XRD patterns of the PSA–clay nano-composites by mechanical blending showed diffraction peak in the range of 3.47°, which corresponds to an interlayer space of $d=25.4$ nm, indicating

---

Table 4
Solid contents and $T_g$ of acrylic pre-emulsion PSAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solid contents (wt%)</th>
<th>2-EHA 15</th>
<th>2-EHA 30</th>
<th>2-EHA 45</th>
<th>2-EHA 60</th>
<th>2-EHA 75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-EHA 15</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-EHA 30</td>
<td>−41.1</td>
<td>−45.5</td>
<td>−48.2</td>
<td>−51.9</td>
<td>−55.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Measured by MDSC.

---

Fig. 7. Stress–strain curve of the pre-emulsion PSAs as a function of 2-EHA concentration.

Fig. 8. XRD patterns of Na-MMT, PSA and PSA-clay nano-composites by mechanical blending and in-situ polymerization.
that Na-MMT in the PSA matrix was intercalated, not exfoliated [25]. Due to the intercalation of nanoclay, the space between layered silicates of PSA–clay nano-composites increased from 12.1 nm to 25.4 nm.

The difference between dispersion microstructure and dispersed phase of PSA–clay nano-composite by in-situ polymerization and mechanical blending was also examined by SEM micrographs. The XRD and SEM measurements are regarded as complementary method to each other for the characterization of the PSA–clay nano-composites [26]. Fig. 9 shows the SEM micrographs of PSA–clay nano-composites by mechanical blending and in-situ polymerization, where the white lines present the Na-MMT and dark part is the PSA matrix. In Fig. 9(a), the silicate layers of nano-composites by mechanical blending are intercalated, positioned in good order. Exfoliated state of nanoclay dispersed in PSA–clay nano-composites by in-situ polymerization can be observed in Fig. 9(b). The layered silicates morphology of PSA–clay nano-composites was different according to preparation method, which is associated with XRD analysis by the SEM micrographs.

3.2.2. Viscoelastic properties

Glass transition temperature, Tg, was analyzed by MDSC and shown in Fig. 10. A negligible increase in Tg was observed for the PSA–clay nano-composites by in-situ polymerization and mechanical blending compared to pure PSA (Fig. 10). The origin of effect on Tg is not clear and remains a subject of future study [27]. The Tg is important for PSA in application field because an increment in the

![Fig. 9. FE-SEM micrographs of PSA-clay nano-composites by (a) mechanical blending and (b) in-situ polymerization.](image)

Tg might affect the film formation temperature in a deleterious way [25].

The viscoelastic behaviors of the pure PSA, PSA–clay nano-composites by in-situ polymerization and mechanical blending with 1 wt% of Na-MMT clay were evaluated and compared with each other using ARES analysis. The viscoelastic behavior of pure PSA and PSA–clay nano-composites are related to their properties. The storage modulus (G’) means the elastic deformation of PSA material and adhesive hardness at a specific temperature and frequency. In Fig. 11, storage modulus (G’) in temperature range –70 to 160 °C at frequency 1 Hz for pure PSA and two kinds of nano-composites are presented. The curves as a function of temperature are typical for amorphous polymers. The storage modulus of the two kinds of nano-composites were higher than that of the pure PSA above 0 °C. Moreover, storage modulus of the in-situ polymerized PSA–clay nano-composites is higher than that of the PSA–clay nano-composites by mechanical blending. Above Tg, when the PSA becomes soft, the reinforcement effect of the clay is significant owing to the restricted movement of polymer chains surrounding the clay. In other words, the storage modulus was improved in the rubbery plateau by layered silicate. These observations can be related to the strong interfacial interactions between the PSA matrix and layered silicates, Na-MMT, which are normally observed in rubber composites [28,29]. The increase in storage modulus is associated with increased adhesion performance of PSA–clay nano-composites.

3.2.3. Adhesion performance

Adhesion performance of pure PSA, two kinds of nano-composites was shown in Figs. 12–14. The in-situ polymerized PSA–clay nano-composites exhibit higher adhesion performance, especially SAFT, compared to the pure PSA. The increment of the adhesion performance can be explained by the rigidity of the PSA–clay nano-composites caused by the presence of inserted polymer chains in the interlayer of clay. On the other hands, PSA–clay nano-composites by mechanical blending show low adhesion performances. This is associated with XRD and FE-SEM analysis, where exfoliated the Na-MMT was not observed for nano-composites by a mechanical blending. Beall and Tsipirsky concluded that the unexfoliated platelets can act as stress concentrators, contributing to a decreased some properties of nano-composites [30].

4. Conclusions

A series of water-borne adhesives based on 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (2-EHA), n-butyl acrylate (BA), methylmethacrylate (MMA)
and acrylic acid (AA) copolymers were synthesized by semicontinuous emulsion polymerization. Different 2-EHA/BA ratios have an influence on adhesion performances. The $T_g$ of the acrylic emulsion PSAs decreased because of higher amounts of 2-EHA thereby adhesion performances were changed. The measurements of the peel strength, probe tack and SAFT as a function of the 2-EHA/BA ratio revealed good adhesion performances when 2-EHA/BA ratio was 45/45 wt%.

Acrylic emulsion PSAs/clay nano-composites were synthesized by in-situ emulsion polymerization and mechanical blending with pristine Na-MMT as layered silicate. XRD and FE-SEM demonstrated that the dispersion of the nanoclay by mechanical blending in PSA matrix is worse than that of in-situ polymerization. Only PSA–clay nano-composites by in-situ polymerization have
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